

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box Virginia 22313-1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,395	08/28/2001	Leo A. Trevino	ALLIA.62CP2C2	6627
75	590 04/20/2004	EXAMINER		
JOHN WURS		HARTLEY, MICHAEL G		
6175 LUSK BC	HARMACEUTICAL COR OULEVARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4.5		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandanment	09/941,395	TREVINO ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael G. Hartley	1616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		•
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 to 20 to	I Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See	ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte explanation in box 7 below).	empt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.		
Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	d publication fee, if applicable, within	the statutory period of three months
 (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	s received on (with a Certific eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar	ate of Mailing or Transmission date nd publication fee) set in the Notice o
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair	ence rendered on and becausens.	se the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
	-	
	je	LUSH
		Michael G. Hartley Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1616

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/941,395	TREVINO ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael G. Hartley	1616				
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:				
(1) <u>Michael G. Hartley</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>John Wurst (Appl. repr.)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>14 April 2004</u>	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed:		• ,				
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Confirmed abandonment. Applicant repr. stated that althou nothing to show that the response was received timely at th	gh a response was mailed, it cai					
Part III.						
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
	•					
	*					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				